Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/004,194	SCHOEB, RETO
	Examiner	Art Unit
	William H. Beisner	1744
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>After Final Response</u>		
(1) William H. Beisner.	(3)	
(2) <u>Kevin T. LeMond</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 7 October 2004	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description:	cant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: All rejections of record		
Claims discussed: All pending		
Prior art documents discussed: All prior art of record.		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE See Continuation Sheet	ERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summa 	ne examiner will provide a writte record of the substance of the i	n summary of the substance
	<i>y</i>	
Evening (CDE Cinnellus)		
Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applican	t/Applicant's Representative Sig	nature - if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Mr. LeMond was informed that the response dated 9/30/04 was persuasive to overcome the prior art rejections of record; however, a terminal disclaimer has not been received. Mr. LeMond will file a terminal disclaimer so as to overcome the obviousness-type double patenting rejections of record.